31st January 1934]

Employment of and grant of lands on darkhast to ex-army men.

* 566 *Q.*—Subadar-Major S. A. NANJAPPA Bahadur: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether any representation was made to the Government regarding the non-enforcement of G.Os. No. 415, dated 26th March 1930, No. 590, dated 25th May 1931, No. 1544, dated 2nd December 1932, and No. 288, dated 3rd April 1933, regarding the grant of land on darkhast and the giving of appointments to the ex-army men and their sons and by whom; and

(*b*) what action the Government have taken to see that the Government Orders referred to above are strictly carried out?

A.—(*a*) A representation on the subject was received from the hon. Member himself and has been investigated. The hon. Member is referred to letter No. 27438-4, Public (Military), dated 27th January 1934. Other representations have from time to time been received from ex-army men.

(*b*) The Government have no reason to believe that their instructions are not being generally carried out, particularly since the issue of G.O. No. 288, Public (Military), dated 3rd April 1933. The issue of further instructions to ensure preference being given to men discharged from the Madras Pioneers and other recently disbanded regiments is under consideration. Individual cases will be investigated, if brought to notice.

Local Self-Government

Appointment of executive officers to municipalities.

* 567 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(*a*) the total number of municipalities in Andhra districts to which executive officers have been appointed and those to which executive officers have not been appointed;

(*b*) the total number of municipalities in Tamil and other parts to which executive officers have been appointed and those to which executive officers have not been appointed;

(*c*) the number and names of municipalities in the Presidency to which the Government contemplate appointing executive officers in the near future and the reasons therefor; and

(*d*) whether it is a fact that the Government contemplate appointing executive officers to the municipalities of Mangalore and Calicut; and if so, the reasons therefor?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The attention of the hon. Member is invited to the appended statement [a], from which it may be seen to which of the municipalities in the Andhra and Tamil districts Commissioners have been appointed.

---

*a Printed as Appendix II on page 303 infra.